

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/571,081		ENDO ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Mary E. Mosher, Ph.D.		1648	

All Participants:

(1) Mary E. Mosher, Ph.D.

(2) Nahied Usman.

Date of Interview: 16 July 2008

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

nonelected claims 13-20

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:
Amendment of 6/30 overcomes rejections, but there is a sequence in paragraph 055 that is not in the Sequence Listing. Also need to cancel nonelected claims 13-20. Discussed supplemental amendment cancelling nonelected claims, then MM could send Quayle action re sequence.